1	1		
2			
3	3		
4	4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7	7		
8	LIGHTMED CORPORATION ET AL, No. CV 13-03933 MEJ		
9	Plaintiff, ORDER		
10	0 v.		
11	ELLEX MEDICAL PTY. LTD. ET AL,		
12	Defendant.		
13	3		
14	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR,		
15		he	
16	San Francisco division for all further proceedings. Counsel are instructed that all future filings	s shal	
17	bear the initials WHO immediately after the case number. All hearing dates presently scheduled are		
18	vacated and motions should be renoticed for hearing before the judge to whom the case has been	en	
19	reassigned. Briefing schedules remain unchanged. <i>See</i> Civil L.R. 7-7(d). Matters for which a		
20	magistrate judge has already issued a report and recommendation shall not be rebriefed or notice	ced	
21	for hearing before the newly assigned judge; such matters shall proceed in accordance with Fed	1. R.	
22	2 Civ. P. 72(b).		
23	3		
24	FOR THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTE	E:	
25			
26	Dated: September 9, 2013 Richard W. Wieking		
27			
28	$_{8}$ \parallel		